

OFFICE OF TREATY SETTLEMENTS

Charles Fergusson Building • Bowen Street • PO Box 919 Wellington
Phone (04) 494 9800 • Fax (04) 494 9801 • www.ots.govt.nz

Pouaka Motuhake 919 • Te Whanganui a Tara Waca (04) 494 9800 • Waca Whakaahua (04) 494 9801

Te Tari Whakatau Take e pa ana ki te Tiriti o Waitangi

RECEIVED

0 b NOV 2006

Minister's Office

DESPATCHED

0 - NOV 2006

Minister's Office

Minister in Charge of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Minister of Finance #

Cc: Associate Minister in Charge of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Subject:

Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa: increase in claimant funding

under modified policy

Date: 6 November 2006 Priority: High

Report No: 72 File Ref: CLA-N116-NF-01-03

Action Sought

Action sought

Timeframe

Approve a \$358,000 increase in claimant funding for Ngatikahu ki AS Whangaroa under the modified policy

ASAP:

Not relevant to request

Contact for Telephone Discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Telephone		1 st Contact
Paul James	Director, OTS	04 494 9826	021 392 921	✓ (OTS)
Rebyn Fisher	Acting Manager, OTS	04 494 9861	027 277 4212	
Sam Woods	Senior Analyst, Commercial Investments, Treasury	04 917 7032		✓ (Treasury)

Withheld under section -

9(2)(g)(i) of the Official Information Act 1982.

Sgd. Hon Dr Michael Cullen

Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa: modified claimant funding upper limit

Purpose

 This report seeks your joint approval for a \$358,000 increase in claimant funding for historical treaty settlement negotiations with Ngatikahukk Whangaroa (NKKW), as the find part of the implementation of the modified claimant funding policy.

Background

Claimant funding for NKKW

2. In September 2001, the Minister in Charge of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations and the Minister of Finance jointly approved a claimant funding upper limit of \$350,000 for the NKKW negotiations, based on the assessment criteria of the time. Of this amount, \$223,000 has been released to NKKW to date, in association with agreed negotiation milestones.

Cabinet decisions on claimant funding

- 3. In April 1999, Cabinet agreed that the setting of overall funding limits for mandated claimant groups, and other funding decisions under CAB (97) M38/9, would be delegated to the Minister in Charge of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations and the Minister of Finance [CAB (99) M11/20 refers].
- 4. Of April 2006 (cabinet approved modifications to the Grown's claimant funding policy [CAB Min (06) 11/17 refers]. Cabinet agreed to replace the original claimant funding matrix with two separate matrices for Crown Forestry Rental Trust (CFRT) funded groups and non-CFRT funded groups, in order to provide additional funding to groups that are not eligible for funding from CFRT. Cabinet also agreed to certain modifications to the claimant funding matrices to better reflect the current drivers of negotiations costs. Cabinet agreed that the modified claimant funding policy ("the modified policy") be applied to all claimant groups who are currently in negotiations (for milestones that have not been met) to the extent that the new amount is greater than the funding available under the previous policy.

In July and August 2006; you jointly approved claimant funding upper limit increases for all claimant groups currently in negotiations except NKKW, who had not been assessed at the time.

to request

Officials have now assessed NKKW under the modified policy and the results of this assessment are set out below.

KKW assessment under the modified claimant funding policy

6. As NKKW do not have interests in licensed Crown forest land, they are not eligible for CFRT funding. The non-CFRT matrix has therefore been applied in reassessing the total amount of claimant funding for the NKKW negotiations. There are eight key factors within this matrix, which have been used to ascertain the level of funding for which NKKW should qualify at each stage of the negotiations process. A summary of officials' assessment for each of these factors (and a comparison with the original assessment undertaken in 2001) is attached as **Appendix 1**.

Proposed Crown contribution under modified policy

7. Bases on the assessment under the modified policy officials recommend that NKKW are eligible to the claiman suncine allocations identified in table 3 below to each of the remaining negotiations milesiones (less the advances already released).

Table 2: Recommended allocations of NKKW claimant funding for remaining milestones

Die 7: Kecontiniene		in we are the state of the second section (Control	The state of the state of the state of	Commence of the same of	
AMILATOR	्रवाद्याद	Modified	Arrests Tolestor	Proposed	New amount
/andate	\$49,000	\$84,000	\$52,000	: (/)0	<u> </u>
erms of Negotiation	\$16,000	\$26,000	\$16,000	50	(0
ubioù(e	\$65,000	\$110,000	168.000	0	<u> </u>
greement in Principle	\$85,000	\$215,000	\$85,000	\$130,000	\$130,000
nitialled Deed of Settlement	\$156,000	\$293,000	\$70,000	\$137,000	\$223,000
Ratification	\$44,000	\$85,000	0	\$41,000	\$85,000
egislation and	0	\$50,600		\$50,000	\$50,000
Subtotal 2	\$286,000	\$843,000	\$155,000	\$358,000	\$488,000
C-16AFOF GKZ	\$350,000	1764000	7/2010	\$358,000	\$488,000

^{*} Amount released in advance of achieving Deed of Settlement milestone to assist the Trust Board in engaging in the fast-tracked negotiations process.

8. The total proposed increase for NKKW under the modified policy is \$35,000 Although this is a considerable increase compared with the other claimant groups who were teligible for increase stiz was identified during development of the modified claimant funding policy that NKKW would be the group to benefit most significantly from the modifications to the policy. This is consistent with one of the main aims of the revised policy, which was to weight increases in funding towards groups like NKKW who do not benefit from CERT funding.



Not relevant to request

Recommendations

- 10. It is recommended that you:
 - note that in April 1999 Cabinet agreed that the setting of overall funding limits for mandated claimant groups, and other funding decisions under CAB (97) M 38/9, would be delegated to the Minister in Charge of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations and the Minister of Finance;
 - 2. **note** that in September 2001 you jointly approved a \$350,000 claimant funding upper limit for NKKW (based on certain assessment criteria of the time);

- note that on 3 April 2006 Cabinet approved modifications to the Crown claimant 3. funding policy [CAB Min (06) 11/17 refers];
- 4. note that officials have completed an assessment of NKKW under the modified claimant funding policy, and based on that assessment recommend that NKKW are eligible for a \$358,000 increase in claimant funding:

5. approve a \$358,000 increase in claimant funding upper limit for NKKW! and

6.

Not relevar to requês

Robyn Fisher Acting Manager, Policy/Negotiations Office of Treaty Settlements For Director

Sam Woods Senior Analyst

Commercial Investments

For Secretary to the Treasury

APPROVED / DEGLINED

Hon Mark Burton

Date: 6

Minister of Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

∜> ľ 2006

APPROVED / DECLINED

Hon Dr Michael Cullen Minister of Finance

Date: 8 , U

/ 2006



Appendix 1

Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa assessment against the eight factors in the Non-CFRT Funded Groups matrix

T IME	Accoming!	
CLAIMANT GROUP SIZE	Smail to medium (2,000-8,000)	In the original claimant funding assessment undertaken in 2001, NKKW were assessed as being of small size, based on the 1996 Census, in which 365 people identified as "Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa - Ngapuhi ki Whangaroa"
		In the 2001 Census, 1,965 people identified as "Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa". Ngapuhi ki Whangaroa". The notional iwi population for "Ngapuhi/Ngatikahu ki Whaingaroa" in the Maori Fisheries Act 2004 is 2,040.
Withheld u 9(2)(j) of th information		used a figure of 2,000-2,500 based on a comparative analysis of the 1949 Maori. Electoral Roll. This was the population figure used in advice to Ministers on quantum factors.
DISTANCE	Mediume	Trille (Catrov (page 10 attests as the primarie Univoduced in April 2006)
FROM WELLINGTON		Three of the four WKW neodiabne and the WKW True Boarde legal counselling in Anglia, and the Counselling in Anglia and t
		negotiation needing swith NKKV have been new in Auckland due to the trusts
		from as majors amount (i.e.; Auckland) is The NKKWe negotiators have flown to. Wellington for Ministerial meetings
		The NKKW negotiators have travelled back to Whangaros monthly fore rust Boards meetings and for sites visits in December 2005. Where going to Whangaros the negotiators must drive and stay overnight
GEOGRAPHIC DISPERSAL	Medium	In 2001, the geographic dispersal of NKKW was assessed as low, although it was identified that the majority of beneficiaries resided less than 3 hours' drive from the claim area or in Auckland. The Trust Board have previously conducted hur in Auckland.
		Under the revised matrix criteria, this description would be assessed as NKKW having medium geographic dispersal, in that the majority of beneficiaries reside outside their claim area, and consultation processes among the group would reasonably include hui to be held in centres other than the rohe where there are significant concentrations of beneficiaries (i.e. Auckland).
SUB- GROUPINGS	5-10	There are six NKKW marae identified in the Terms of Negotiation (Taemaro, Waimahana, Taupo, Waihapa, Waitaruke and Mangawhero).
		NKKW have identified the following seven hapti for the purposes of claimant definition — Ngati Roha, Ngati Aukiwa, Ngati Rua, Kaitangata, Ngati Rangimatamoemoe, Te Hoia and Pohotiare:
POLITICAL UNITY AND	Low level	NKKW were assessed in 2001 as having a medium level of political unity/stability.
STABILITY		Since 2001, a group using the NKKW hapū name Ngāti Aukiwa, which does not recognise the mandate of the Trust Board, has undertaken occupations and protest activity on Stony Creek Station, the frequency and intensity of which have recently escalated.
		NKKW are assessed as having a low level of political unity/stability according to the revised matrix criteria.

	THO	Accuration.	Comocin Control of the Control of th
•	CROSS CLAIME POTENTIAL	Low	ine 2001 P. Nikkwik were assessed as having at low cross-claim potential. I wo overlapping groups have been identified. Note Kahu and Ngapuh—and the degree of overlap is small. The interests of Ngatt Kahu have been identified in the Munwhenus cand Report almough the interests of Ngapuh, have not been identified in the Munwhenus cand Report almough the interests of Ngapuh, have not been identified in a line of the interests of Ngapuh, have not been identified in a line of the interests of Ngapuh, have not been identified in a line of the interests of Ngapuh, have not been identified in a line of the interests of Ngapuh.
			The Trust Board has advised that they have mutual understandings with both the mandated body for Ngat Kahwand the mandated body for isheres redress for the both NKKW and Ngapun ki. Whangaros regarding they respective interests and sections.
	LEVEL OF LIKELY RESEARCH	Low Complexity	The NKKW claims primarily involve pre-1865 land purchases by the Crown. There is little dispute between the Crown and NKKW over the historical record. There is a low expectation of the detail and amount of negotiation required in the Historical Account. The Crown and NKKW are unlikely to need to undertake much historical research due to the availability of the Muriwhenua Land Report.
)	NATUREOF LIKELY REDRESS	Mediuma	The number of cultural recress instruments used the bettement is small, medium and the cultural recress is based on existing precedents; The natural of the commercial recress is unique and has required extensive, policy development due to the fact that the value of the commercial assets in the NKKY area of interest greaty, exceeds the quantum range.
•			